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**SUMMARY:** The Department of Education is issuing a notice inviting applications for fiscal year (FY) 2018 for the Supporting Effective Educator Development (SEED) program, Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number 84.423A.

**Text**

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Full Text of Announcement**

**I. Funding Opportunity Description**

*Purpose of Program:* The SEED program, authorized under section 2242 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA or Act), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) ([*20 U.S.C. 6672*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=statutes-legislation&id=urn:contentItem:4YF7-GTM1-NRF4-43RH-00000-00&context=)), n1 provides funding to increase the number of highly effective educators by supporting the implementation of Evidence-Based n2 practices that prepare, develop, or enhance the skills of educators. These grants will allow eligible entities to develop, expand, and evaluate practices that can serve as models that can be sustained and disseminated.

n1 Unless otherwise indicated, all references to the ESEA or the Act are to the ESEA, as amended by the ESSA.

n2 Throughout this notice, all defined terms are denoted with capitals.

*Priorities:* This ***competition*** includes two absolute priorities, one ***competitive*** preference priority, and one invitational priority. In accordance with [*34 CFR 75.105(b)(2)(iv)*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5GMB-DPB0-008H-02BK-00000-00&context=), Absolute Priority 1, which requires moderate evidence, and Absolute Priority 2, which requires promising evidence, are from section 2242 of the ESEA ([*20 U.S.C. 6672*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=statutes-legislation&id=urn:contentItem:4YF7-GTM1-NRF4-43RH-00000-00&context=)) and [*34 CFR 75.226*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5P5K-6FW0-008H-0340-00000-00&context=). The ***competitive*** preference priority is from the Department's notice of final supplemental priorities and definitions, published in the **Federal Register** on March 2, 2018 [*(83 FR 9096)*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5RSD-N7H0-006W-8143-00000-00&context=) (Supplemental Priorities). Under the SEED grant ***competition***, each of the two absolute priorities constitutes its own funding category. The Secretary intends to award grants under each absolute priority for which applications of sufficient quality are submitted.

*Absolute Priorities:* For FY 2018 and any subsequent year in which we make awards from the list of unfunded applications from this ***competition***, these priorities are absolute priorities. Under [*34 CFR 75.105(c)(3)*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5GMB-DPB0-008H-02BK-00000-00&context=), we consider only applications that meet one of these priorities. Applicants may address only one absolute priority and must clearly indicate the specific absolute priority their project addresses.

These priorities are:

*Absolute Priority 1--Supporting Effective Teachers.*

This priority is for projects that will implement activities that are supported by Moderate Evidence. Applicants under this priority may propose one or more of the following activities:

(1) Providing teachers from nontraditional preparation and certification routes or pathways to serve in traditionally underserved Local Educational Agencies (LEAs);

(2) Providing teachers with Evidence-Based Professional Development activities that address literacy, numeracy, remedial, or other needs of LEAs and the students the agencies serve; or

(3) Providing teachers with Evidence-Based professional enhancement activities, which may include activities that lead to an advanced credential.

**Note:** An LEA includes a public charter school that operates as an LEA.

*Absolute Priority 2--Supporting Effective Principals or Other School Leaders.*

This priority is for projects that will implement activities that are supported by Promising Evidence. Applicants under this priority may propose one or more of the following activities:

(1) Providing principals or other School Leaders from nontraditional preparation and certification routes or pathways to serve in traditionally underserved LEAs;

(2) Providing principals or other School Leaders with Evidence-Based Professional Development activities that address literacy, numeracy, remedial, or other needs of LEAs and the students the agencies serve; or

(3) Providing principals or other School Leaders with Evidence-Based professional enhancement activities, which may include activities that lead to an advanced credential.

**Note:** An applicant must identify at least one but no more than two citations for the purposes of meeting the evidence requirement for the priority the applicant addresses, Moderate Evidence for Absolute Priority 1 or Promising Evidence for Absolute Priority 2. An applicant should clearly identify these citations in the Evidence form. The Department will not review a citation that an applicant fails to clearly identify for review. Studies included for review may have been conducted by the applicant or by a third party.

In addition to including up to two citations, an applicant must provide a description of: (1) The positive outcome(s) and practice(s) the applicant intends to replicate under its SEED grant and (2) the relevance of the outcome(s) and practice(s) to the SEED program. For an applicant addressing Absolute Priority 1 to meet the definition of Moderate Evidence, the **[\*12357]** applicant must describe how the population it proposes to serve overlaps with the population or settings in the citations.

An applicant must ensure that all evidence is available to the Department from publicly available sources and provide links or other guidance indicating where it is available. If the Department determines that an applicant has provided insufficient information, the applicant will not have an opportunity to provide additional information at a later time. However, if the What Works Clearinghouse (WWC) determines that a study does not provide enough information on key aspects of the study design, such as sample attrition or equivalence of intervention and comparison groups, the WWC will submit a query to the study author(s) to gather information for use in determining a study rating. Authors are asked to respond to queries within 10 business days. Should the author query remain incomplete within 14 days of the initial contact to the study author(s), the study will be deemed ineligible under the grant ***competition***. After the grant ***competition*** closes, the WWC will continue to include responses to author queries and will make updates to study reviews as necessary, but no additional information will be taken into account after the ***competition*** closes and the initial timeline established for response to an author query passes.

***Competitive*** *Preference Priority:* For FY 2018 and any subsequent year in which we make awards from the list of unfunded applications from this ***competition***, this priority is a ***competitive*** preference priority. Under [*34 CFR 75.105(c)(2)(i)*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5GMB-DPB0-008H-02BK-00000-00&context=) we award up to an additional three points to an application, depending on how well the application addresses this priority.

This priority is:

*Promoting Science, Technology, Engineering, or Math (STEM) Education, With a Particular Focus on Computer Science.*

Projects designed to improve student achievement or other educational outcomes in one or more of the following areas: Science, technology, engineering, math, or Computer Science. These projects must address the following priority area:

Increasing the number of educators adequately prepared to deliver rigorous instruction in STEM fields, including Computer Science, through recruitment, Evidence-Based Professional Development strategies for current STEM educators, or evidence-based retraining strategies for current educators seeking to transition from other subjects to STEM fields.

*Invitational Priority:* For FY 2018 and any subsequent year in which we make awards from the list of unfunded applications from this ***competition***, this priority is an invitational priority. Under [*34 CFR 75.105(c)(1)*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5GMB-DPB0-008H-02BK-00000-00&context=) we do not give an application that meets this invitational priority a ***competitive*** or absolute preference over other applications.

This priority is:

*Support for the Use of Micro-Credentials.*

Under this priority, we are interested in projects that support teachers, principals, or other School Leaders earning micro-credentials based on demonstrated mastery of specific skills or ***competencies*** through the use of performance-based outcomes. The micro-credentials should be portable across schools, LEAs, or States.

*Definitions:* The definition of "Evidence-Based" is from section 2242 of the ESEA ([*20 U.S.C. 6672*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=statutes-legislation&id=urn:contentItem:4YF7-GTM1-NRF4-43RH-00000-00&context=)) and section 8101 of the ESEA ([*20 U.S.C. 7801*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=statutes-legislation&id=urn:contentItem:4YF7-GKS1-NRF4-432P-00000-00&context=)). The definitions of "Institution of Higher Education," which incorporates by reference section 101(a) of the Higher Education Opportunity Act ([*20 U.S.C. 7801(a)*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=statutes-legislation&id=urn:contentItem:4YF7-GKS1-NRF4-432P-00000-00&context=)), "Local Educational Agency," "Professional Development," "School Leader," and "State Educational Agency" are from section 8101 of the ESEA ([*20 U.S.C. 7801*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=statutes-legislation&id=urn:contentItem:4YF7-GKS1-NRF4-432P-00000-00&context=)). The definition of "Computer Science" is from the Supplemental Priorities. The definitions of "Experimental Study," "Moderate Evidence," "Project Component," "Promising Evidence," "Quasi-Experimental Design Study," "Relevant Outcome," and "What Works Clearinghouse Handbook" are from [*34 CFR 77.1*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5S7T-7HV0-008H-03HM-00000-00&context=).

*Computer Science* means the study of computers and algorithmic processes and includes the study of computing principles and theories, computational thinking, computer hardware, software design, coding, analytics, and computer applications.

Computer science often includes computer programming or coding as a tool to create software, including applications, games, websites, and tools to manage or manipulate data; or development and management of computer hardware and the other electronics related to sharing, securing, and using digital information.

In addition to coding, the expanding field of computer science emphasizes computational thinking and interdisciplinary problem-solving to equip students with the skills and abilities necessary to apply computation in our digital world.

Computer science does not include using a computer for everyday activities, such as browsing the internet; use of tools like word processing, spreadsheets, or presentation software; or using computers in the study and exploration of unrelated subjects.

*Evidence-Based,* when used with respect to a State, Local Educational Agency, or school activity, means an activity, strategy, or intervention that demonstrates a statistically significant effect on improving student outcomes or other Relevant Outcomes based on--

(I) Strong evidence from at least 1 well-designed and well-implemented Experimental Study;

(II) Moderate Evidence from at least 1 well-designed and well-implemented Quasi-Experimental Study; or

(III) Promising Evidence from at least 1 well-designed and well-implemented correlational study with statistical controls for selection bias.

*Experimental Study* means a study that is designed to compare outcomes between two groups of individuals (such as students) that are otherwise equivalent except for their assignment to either a treatment group receiving a Project Component or a control group that does not. Randomized controlled trials, regression discontinuity design studies, and single-case design studies are the specific types of experimental studies that, depending on their design and implementation (*e.g.,* sample attrition in randomized controlled trials and regression discontinuity design studies), can meet What Works Clearinghouse (WWC) standards without reservations as described in the WWC Handbook:

(i) A randomized controlled trial employs random assignment of, for example, students, teachers, classrooms, or schools to receive the Project Component being evaluated (the treatment group) or not to receive the Project Component (the control group).

(ii) A regression discontinuity design study assigns the Project Component being evaluated using a measured variable (*e.g.,* assigning students reading below a cutoff score to tutoring or developmental education classes) and controls for that variable in the analysis of outcomes.

(iii) A single-case design study uses observations of a single case (*e.g.,* a student eligible for a behavioral intervention) over time in the absence and presence of a controlled treatment manipulation to determine whether the outcome is systematically related to the treatment.

*Institution of Higher Education* means an educational institution in any State that-- **[\*12358]**

(a) Admits as regular students only persons having a certificate of graduation from a school providing secondary education, or the recognized equivalent of such a certificate, or persons who meet the requirements of section 484(d) of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (HEA);

(b) Is legally authorized within such State to provide a program of education beyond secondary education;

(c) Provides an educational program for which the institution awards a bachelor's degree or provides not less than a 2-year program that is acceptable for full credit toward such a degree, or awards a degree that is acceptable for admission to a graduate or professional degree program, subject to review and approval by the Secretary;

(d) Is a public or other nonprofit institution; and

(e) Is accredited by a nationally recognized accrediting agency or association, or if not so accredited, is an institution that has been granted preaccreditation status by such an agency or association that has been recognized by the Secretary for the granting of preaccreditation status, and the Secretary has determined that there is satisfactory assurance that the institution will meet the accreditation standards of such an agency or association within a reasonable time.

*Local Educational Agency* (LEA) means:

(a) In General. A public board of education or other public authority legally constituted within a State for either administrative control or direction of, or to perform a service function for, public elementary schools or secondary schools in a city, county, township, school district, or other political subdivision of a State, or of or for a combination of school districts or counties that is recognized in a State as an administrative agency for its public elementary schools or secondary schools.

(b) Administrative Control and Direction. The term includes any other public institution or agency having administrative control and direction of a public elementary school or secondary school.

(c) Bureau of Indian Education Schools. The term includes an elementary school or secondary school funded by the Bureau of Indian Education but only to the extent that including the school makes the school eligible for programs for which specific eligibility is not provided to the school in another provision of law and the school does not have a student population that is smaller than the student population of the LEA receiving assistance under the ESEA with the smallest student population, except that the school shall not be subject to the jurisdiction of any SEA other than the Bureau of Indian Education.

(d) Educational Service Agencies. The term includes educational service agencies and consortia of those agencies.

(e) State Educational Agency. The term includes the SEA in a State in which the SEA is the sole educational agency for all public schools.

*Moderate Evidence* means that there is evidence of effectiveness of a key Project Component in improving a Relevant Outcome for a sample that overlaps with the populations or settings proposed to receive that component, based on a relevant finding from one of the following:

(i) A practice guide prepared by the WWC using version 2.1 or 3.0 of the WWC Handbook reporting a "strong evidence base" or "moderate evidence base" for the corresponding practice guide recommendation;

(ii) An intervention report prepared by the WWC using version 2.1 or 3.0 of the WWC Handbook reporting a "positive effect" or "potentially positive effect" on a Relevant Outcome based on a "medium to large" extent of evidence, with no reporting of a "negative effect" or "potentially negative effect" on a Relevant Outcome; or

(iii) A single Experimental Study or Quasi-Experimental Design Study reviewed and reported by the WWC using version 2.1 or 3.0 of the WWC Handbook, or otherwise assessed by the Department using version 3.0 of the WWC Handbook, as appropriate, and that--

(A) Meets WWC standards with or without reservations;

(B) Includes at least one statistically significant and positive (*i.e.,* favorable) effect on a Relevant Outcome;

(C) Includes no overriding statistically significant and negative effects on Relevant Outcomes reported in the study or in a corresponding WWC intervention report prepared under version 2.1 or 3.0 of the WWC Handbook; and

(D) Is based on a sample from more than one site (*e.g.,* State, county, city, school district, or postsecondary campus) and includes at least 350 students or other individuals across sites. Multiple studies of the same Project Component that each meet requirements in paragraphs (iii)(A), (B), and (C) of this definition may together satisfy this requirement.

*Professional Development* means activities that--

(a) Are an integral part of school and LEA strategies for providing educators (including teachers, principals, other School Leaders, specialized instructional support personnel, paraprofessionals, and, as applicable, early childhood educators) with the knowledge and skills necessary to enable students to succeed in a well-rounded education and to meet the challenging State academic standards; and

(b) Are sustained (not stand-alone, 1-day, or short term workshops), intensive, collaborative, job-embedded, data-driven, and classroom-focused, and may include activities that--

(i) Improve and increase teachers': (1) Knowledge of the academic subjects the teachers teach; (2) understanding of how students learn; and (3) ability to analyze student work and achievement from multiple sources, including how to adjust instructional strategies, assessments, and materials based on such analysis;

(ii) Are an integral part of broad schoolwide and districtwide educational improvement plans;

(iii) Allow personalized plans for each educator to address the educator's specific needs identified in observation or other feedback;

(iv) Improve classroom management skills;

(v) Support the recruitment, hiring, and training of effective teachers, including teachers who became certified through State and local alternative routes to certification;

(vi) Advance teacher understanding of: (1) Effective instructional strategies that are Evidence-Based; and (2) strategies for improving student academic achievement or substantially increasing the knowledge and teaching skills of teachers;

(vii) Are aligned with, and directly related to, academic goals of the school or LEA;

(viii) Are developed with extensive participation of teachers, principals, other School Leaders, parents, representatives of Indian Tribes (as applicable), and administrators of schools to be served under this Act;

(ix) Are designed to give teachers of English learners, and other teachers and instructional staff, the knowledge and skills to provide instruction and appropriate language and academic support services to those children, including the appropriate use of curricula and assessments;

(x) To the extent appropriate, provide training for teachers, principals, and other School Leaders in the use of technology (including education about the harms of copyright piracy), so that **[\*12359]** technology and technology applications are effectively used in the classroom to improve teaching and learning in the curricula and academic subjects in which the teachers teach;

(xi) As a whole, are regularly evaluated for their impact on increased teacher effectiveness and improved student academic achievement, with the findings of the evaluations used to improve the quality of professional development;

(xii) Are designed to give teachers of children with disabilities or children with developmental delays, and other teachers and instructional staff, the knowledge and skills to provide instruction and academic support services, to those children, including positive behavioral interventions and supports, multi-tier system of supports, and use of accommodations;

(xiii) Include instruction in the use of data and assessments to inform and instruct classroom practice;

(xiv) Include instruction in ways that teachers, principals, other School Leaders, specialized instructional support personnel, and school administrators may work more effectively with parents and families;

(xv) Involve the forming of partnerships with Institutions of Higher Education, including, as applicable, Tribal Colleges and Universities as defined in section 316(b) of the HEA ([*20 U.S.C. 1059c(b)*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=statutes-legislation&id=urn:contentItem:4YF7-GK61-NRF4-42TW-00000-00&context=)), to establish school-based teacher, principal, and other School Leader training programs that provide prospective teachers, novice teachers, principals, and other School Leaders with an opportunity to work under the guidance of experienced teachers, principals, other School Leaders, and faculty of such institutions;

(xvi) Create programs to enable paraprofessionals (assisting teachers employed by an LEA receiving assistance under part A of title I of the ESEA) to obtain the education necessary for those paraprofessionals to become certified and licensed teachers;

(xvii) Provide follow-up training to teachers who have participated in activities described in paragraph (b) of this definition that are designed to ensure that the knowledge and skills learned by the teachers are implemented in the classroom; and

(xviii) Where practicable, provide jointly for school staff and other early childhood education program providers, to address the transition to elementary school, including issues related to school readiness.

*Project Component* means an activity, strategy, intervention, process, product, practice, or policy included in a project. Evidence may pertain to an individual project component or to a combination of project components (*e.g.,* training teachers on instructional practices for English learners and follow-on coaching for these teachers).

*Promising Evidence* means that there is evidence of the effectiveness of a key Project Component in improving a Relevant Outcome, based on a relevant finding from one of the following:

(i) A practice guide prepared by WWC reporting a "strong evidence base" or "moderate evidence base" for the corresponding practice guide recommendation;

(ii) An intervention report prepared by the WWC reporting a "positive effect" or "potentially positive effect" on a Relevant Outcome with no reporting of a "negative effect" or "potentially negative effect" on a Relevant Outcome; or

(iii) A single study assessed by the Department, as appropriate, that--

(A) Is an Experimental Study, a Quasi-Experimental Design Study, or a well-designed and well-implemented correlational study with statistical controls for selection bias (*e.g.,* a study using regression methods to account for differences between a treatment group and a comparison group); and

(B) Includes at least one statistically significant and positive (*i.e.,* favorable) effect on a Relevant Outcome.

*Quasi-Experimental Design Study* means a study using a design that attempts to approximate an Experimental Study by identifying a comparison group that is similar to the treatment group in important respects. This type of study, depending on design and implementation (*e.g.,* establishment of baseline equivalence of the groups being compared), can meet WWC standards with reservations, but cannot meet WWC standards without reservations, as described in the WWC Handbook.

*Relevant Outcome* means the student outcome(s) or other outcome(s) the key Project Component is designed to improve, consistent with the specific goals of the program.

*School Leader* means a principal, assistant principal, or other individual who is--

(a) An employee or officer of an elementary school or secondary school, LEA, or other entity operating an elementary school or secondary school; and

(b) Responsible for the daily instructional leadership and managerial operations in the elementary school or secondary school building.

*State Educational Agency* (SEA) means the agency primarily responsible for the State supervision of public elementary schools and secondary schools.

*What Works Clearinghouse Handbook (WWC Handbook)* means the standards and procedures set forth in the WWC Procedures and Standards Handbook, Version 3.0 or Version 2.1 (incorporated by reference, see [*34 CFR 77.2*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5P5K-6FX0-008H-03B5-00000-00&context=)). Study findings eligible for review under WWC standards can meet WWC standards without reservations, meet WWC standards with reservations, or not meet WWC standards. WWC practice guides and intervention reports include findings from systematic reviews of evidence as described in the Handbook documentation.

Program Authority:

Section 2242 of the ESEA ([*20 U.S.C. 6672*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=statutes-legislation&id=urn:contentItem:4YF7-GTM1-NRF4-43RH-00000-00&context=)).

*Applicable* ***Regulations****:* (a) The Education Department General Administrative ***Regulations*** in 34 CFR parts 75, 77, 79, 81, 82, 84, 86, 97, 98, and 99. (b) The Office of Management and Budget Guidelines to Agencies on Governmentwide Debarment and Suspension (Nonprocurement) in 2 CFR part 180, as adopted and amended as ***regulations*** of the Department in 2 CFR part 3485. (c) The Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards in 2 CFR part 200, as adopted and amended as ***regulations*** of the Department in 2 CFR part 3474. (d) The Supplemental Priorities.

**Note:** The ***regulations*** in 34 CFR part 86 apply to Institutions of Higher Education only.

**II. Award Information**

*Type of Award:* Discretionary grants.

*Estimated Available Funds:* The Administration's budget request for FY 2018 does not include funds for new awards under this program. However, we are inviting applications to allow sufficient time to complete the grant process before the end of the current fiscal year, if Congress appropriates funds for this program.

Contingent upon the availability of funds and the quality of applications, we may make additional awards in subsequent years from the list of unfunded applications from this ***competition***.

*Estimated Range of Awards:* $ 1,000,000-$ 6,000,000 per project year.

*Estimated Average Size of Awards:* $ 3,500,000 per project year.

*Estimated Number of Awards:* 5-8.

**Note:** The Department is not bound by any estimates in this notice.

*Project Period:* Up to 36 months, with renewal of up two additional years. **[\*12360]**

**III. Eligibility Information**

1. *Eligible Applicants:*

(a) An Institution of Higher Education that provides course materials or resources that are Evidence-Based in increasing academic achievement, graduation rates, or rates of postsecondary education matriculation;

(b) A national nonprofit organization with a demonstrated record of raising student academic achievement, graduation rates, and rates of higher education attendance, matriculation, or completion, or of effectiveness in providing preparation and Professional Development activities and programs for teachers, principals, or other School Leaders;

(c) The Bureau of Indian Education; or

(d) A partnership consisting of--

(i) One or more entities described in paragraph (a) or (b); and

(ii) A for-profit entity.

2. a. *Cost Sharing or Matching:* Under section 2242 of the ESEA, each grant recipient must provide, from non-Federal sources, at least 25 percent of the funds for the total cost for each year of activities supported by the grant. These funds may be provided in cash or through in-kind contributions. Grantees must include a budget showing their matching contributions on an annual basis relative to the annual budget amount of SEED grant funds and must provide evidence of their matching contributions for the first year of the grant in their grant applications.

Section 2242 of the ESEA also authorizes the Secretary to waive this matching requirement on a case-by-case basis in cases of demonstrated financial hardship. Applicants that wish to apply for a waiver must include a request in their application that demonstrates a financial hardship.

Further information about applying for waivers can be found in the application package. However, given the importance of matching funds to the long-term success of the project, the Secretary expects eligible entities to identify appropriate matching funds.

b. *Supplement-Not-Supplant:* This program involves supplement-not-supplant funding requirements. Under section 2301 of the ESEA ([*20 U.S.C. 6691*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=statutes-legislation&id=urn:contentItem:4YF7-GVG1-NRF4-41SH-00000-00&context=)), funds made available under title II of the ESEA must be used to supplement, and not supplant, non-Federal funds that would otherwise be used for activities authorized under this title. Further, the prohibition against supplanting funds also means that grantees seeking to charge indirect costs to SEED funds will need to use their negotiated restricted indirect cost rates. See [*34 CFR 75.563*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5GMB-DPB0-008H-027G-00000-00&context=).

3. *Subgrantees:* (a) Under [*34 CFR 75.708(b)*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5GMB-DPC0-008H-02SJ-00000-00&context=) and (c) a grantee under this ***competition*** may award subgrants--to directly carry out project activities described in its application--to the following types of entities: LEAs, public entities, and private entities suitable to carry out the activities proposed in the application.

(b) The grantee may award subgrants to entities it has identified in an approved application or under procedures established by the grantee.

4. *Certification:* Pursuant to section 2242 of the ESEA ([*20 U.S.C. 6672*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=statutes-legislation&id=urn:contentItem:4YF7-GTM1-NRF4-43RH-00000-00&context=)), applicants must include a certification that the services provided by an eligible entity under the grant to a LEA or to a school served by the LEA will not result in direct fees for participating students or parents.

**IV. Application and Submission Information**

1. *Application Submission Instructions:* For information on how to submit an application please refer to our Common Instructions for Applicants to Department of Education Discretionary Grant Programs, published in the **Federal Register** on February 12, 2018 [*(83 FR 6003)*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5RMH-YGH0-006W-84BR-00000-00&context=) and available at [*www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2018-02-12/pdf/2018-02558.pdf*](http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2018-02-12/pdf/2018-02558.pdf)*.*

2. *Submission of Proprietary Information:* Given the types of projects that may be proposed in applications for the SEED program, your application may include business information that you consider proprietary. In [*34 CFR 5.11*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5G9C-N1H0-008H-002J-00000-00&context=) we define "business information" and describe the process we use in determining whether any of that information is proprietary and, thus, protected from disclosure under Exemption 4 of the Freedom of Information Act (*5 U.S.C. 552*, as amended).

Because we plan to make successful applications available to the public, you may wish to request confidentiality of business information.

Consistent with Executive Order 12600, please designate in your application any information that you feel is exempt from disclosure under Exemption 4. In the appropriate Appendix section of your application, under "Other Attachments Form," please list the page number or numbers on which we can find this information. For additional information please see [*34 CFR 5.11(c)*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5G9C-N1H0-008H-002J-00000-00&context=).

3. *Intergovernmental Review:* This ***competition*** is subject to Executive Order 12372 and the ***regulations*** in 34 CFR part 79. Information about Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs under Executive Order 12372 is in the application package for this ***competition***.

4. *Funding Restrictions:* We reference ***regulations*** outlining funding restrictions in the *Applicable* ***Regulations*** section of this notice.

5. *Recommended Page Limit:* The application narrative is where you, the applicant, address the selection criteria that reviewers use to evaluate your application. We recommend that you (1) limit the application narrative to 40 pages and (2) use the following standards:

* A "page" is 8.5" x 11", on one side only, with 1" margins at the top, bottom, and both sides.

1. Double space (no more than three lines per vertical inch) all text in the application narrative, including titles, headings, footnotes, quotations, references, and captions, as well as all text in charts, tables, figures, and graphs.
2. Use a font that is either 12 point or larger or no smaller than 10 pitch (characters per inch).
3. Use one of the following fonts: Times New Roman, Courier, Courier New, or Arial.

The recommended page limit does not apply to Part I, the cover sheet; Part II, the budget section, including the narrative budget justification; Part IV, the assurances and certifications; or the one-page abstract, the resumes, the bibliography, or the letters of support. However, the recommended page limit does apply to all of the application narrative.

**V. Application Review Information**

1. *Selection Criteria:* The selection criteria for this ***competition*** are from [*34 CFR 75.210*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5S7T-7HS0-008H-02VY-00000-00&context=). An applicant may earn up to a total of 100 points based on the selection criteria. The maximum score for each criterion is indicated in parentheses. Each criterion also includes the sub-factors that the reviewers will consider in determining how well an application meets the criterion. The criteria are as follows:

A. *Quality of the Project Design (35 points).* The Secretary considers the quality of the design of the proposed project. In determining the quality of the design of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(1) The extent to which the proposed project represents an exceptional approach to the priority or priorities established for the ***competition***.

(2) The extent to which the training or Professional Development services to be provided by the proposed project are of sufficient quality, intensity, and duration to lead to improvements in **[\*12361]** practice among the recipients of those services.

(3) The extent to which the services to be provided by the proposed project involve the collaboration of appropriate partners for maximizing the effectiveness of project services.

(4) The extent to which the services to be provided by the proposed project are focused on those with greatest needs.

(5) The extent to which the design of the proposed project is appropriate to, and will successfully address, the needs of the target population or other identified needs.

B. *Significance (20 points).* The Secretary considers the significance of the proposed project. In determining the significance of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(1) The importance or magnitude of the results or outcomes likely to be attained by the proposed project, especially improvements in teaching and student achievement.

(2) The extent to which the costs are reasonable in relation to the number of persons to be served and to the anticipated results and benefits.

(3) The potential for the incorporation of project purposes, activities, or benefits into the ongoing program of the agency or organization at the end of Federal funding.

(4) The extent to which the results of the proposed project are to be disseminated in ways that will enable others to use the information or strategies.

C. *Quality of the Management Plan (25 points).* The Secretary considers the quality of the management plan for the proposed project. In determining the quality of the management plan for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(1) The extent to which the goals, objectives, and outcomes to be achieved by the proposed project are clearly specified and measurable.

(2) The adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within budget, including clearly defined responsibilities, timelines, and milestones for accomplishing project tasks.

(3) The adequacy of procedures for ensuring feedback and continuous improvement in the operation of the proposed project.

D. *Quality of the Project Evaluation (20 points).* The Secretary considers the quality of the evaluation to be conducted of the proposed project. In determining the quality of the evaluation, the Secretary considers the following factors:

(1) The extent to which the methods of evaluation will, if well implemented, produce evidence about the project's effectiveness that would meet the WWC standards with or without reservations as described in the WWC Handbook.

(2) The extent to which the methods of evaluation will provide performance feedback and permit periodic assessment of progress toward achieving intended outcomes.

(3) The extent to which the methods of evaluation include the use of objective performance measures that are clearly related to the intended outcomes of the project and will produce quantitative and qualitative data to the extent possible.

(4) The extent to which the methods of evaluation will provide valid and reliable performance data on Relevant Outcomes.

**Note:** Applicants may wish to review the following technical assistance resources on evaluation: (1) WWC Procedures and Standards Handbooks: [*https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Handbooks*](https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Handbooks) (2) "Technical Assistance Materials for Conducting Rigorous Impact Evaluations": [*http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/projects/evaluationTA.asp*](http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/projects/evaluationTA.asp)*;* and (3) IES/NCEE Technical Methods papers: [*http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/tech\_methods/*](http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/tech_methods/)*.* In addition, applicants may view two optional webinar recordings that were hosted by the Institute of Education Sciences. The first webinar discussed strategies for designing and executing well-designed Quasi-Experimental Design Studies and is available at: [*http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Multimedia.aspx?sid=23*](http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Multimedia.aspx?sid=23)*.* The second webinar focused on more rigorous evaluation designs, discussing strategies for designing and executing studies that meet WWC evidence standards without reservations. This webinar is available at: [*http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Multimedia.aspx?sid=18*](http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Multimedia.aspx?sid=18)*.*

2. *Review and Selection Process:* We remind potential applicants that in reviewing applications in any discretionary grant ***competition***, the Secretary may consider, under [*34 CFR 75.217(d)(3)*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5GMB-DPB0-008H-02GP-00000-00&context=), the past performance of the applicant in carrying out a previous award, such as the applicant's use of funds, achievement of project objectives, and compliance with grant conditions. The Secretary may also consider whether the applicant failed to submit a timely performance report or submitted a report of unacceptable quality.

In addition, in making a ***competitive*** grant award, the Secretary requires various assurances, including those applicable to Federal civil rights laws that prohibit discrimination in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance from the Department ([*34 CFR 100.4*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5KS0-F5W0-008H-023P-00000-00&context=), [*104.5*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5R5H-J1H0-008H-043K-00000-00&context=), [*106.4*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5KS0-F5W0-008H-024V-00000-00&context=), [*108.8*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5KS0-F600-008H-02PT-00000-00&context=), and [*110.23*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5KS0-F5W0-008H-025B-00000-00&context=)).

Additional factors we consider in selecting an application for an award are as follows:

(a) As required under section 2242 of the ESEA, the Secretary must ensure that, to the extent practicable, grants are distributed among eligible entities that will serve geographically diverse areas, including urban, suburban, and rural areas.

(b) As required under section 2242 of the ESEA, the Department must not award more than one grant under this program to an eligible entity during a grant ***competition***. If an entity submits multiple applications for this ***competition***, only the highest rated application will be considered for an award.

3. *Risk Assessment and Special Conditions:* Consistent with [*2 CFR 200.205*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5HDM-DHF0-008G-Y2NJ-00000-00&context=), before awarding grants under this ***competition*** the Department conducts a review of the risks posed by applicants. Under [*2 CFR 3474.10*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5F34-Y1R0-008G-Y1F9-00000-00&context=), the Secretary may impose special conditions and, in appropriate circumstances, high-risk conditions on a grant if the applicant or grantee is not financially stable; has a history of unsatisfactory performance; has a financial or other management system that does not meet the standards in 2 CFR part 200, subpart D; has not fulfilled the conditions of a prior grant; or is otherwise not responsible.

4. *Integrity and Performance System:* If you are selected under this ***competition*** to receive an award that over the course of the project period may exceed the simplified acquisition threshold (currently $ 150,000), under [*2 CFR 200.205(a)(2)*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5HDM-DHF0-008G-Y2NJ-00000-00&context=), we must make a judgment about your integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under Federal awards--that is, the risk posed by you as an applicant--before we make an award. In doing so, we must consider any information about you that is in the integrity and performance system (currently referred to as the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS)), accessible through the System for Award Management. You may review and comment on any information about yourself that a Federal agency previously entered and that is currently in FAPIIS.

Please note that, if the total value of your currently active grants, cooperative agreements, and procurement contracts from the Federal Government exceeds $ 10,000,000, the reporting requirements in 2 CFR part 200, Appendix XII, require you to report certain integrity information to FAPIIS semiannually. Please review the requirements in 2 CFR part 200, Appendix XII, if this grant **[\*12362]** plus all the other Federal funds you receive exceed $ 10,000,000.

**VI. Award Administration Information**

1. *Award Notices:* If your application is successful, we notify your U.S. Representative and U.S. Senators and send you a Grant Award Notification (GAN); or we may send you an email containing a link to access an electronic version of your GAN. We may notify you informally, also.

If your application is not evaluated or not selected for funding, we notify you.

2. *Administrative and National Policy Requirements:* We identify administrative and national policy requirements in the application package and reference these and other requirements in the *Applicable* ***Regulations*** section of this notice.

We reference the ***regulations*** outlining the terms and conditions of an award in the *Applicable* ***Regulations*** section of this notice and include these and other specific conditions in the GAN. The GAN also incorporates your approved application as part of your binding commitments under the grant.

3. *Open Licensing Requirements:* Unless an exception applies, if you are awarded a grant under this ***competition***, you will be required to openly license to the public grant deliverables created in whole, or in part, with Department grant funds. When the deliverable consists of modifications to pre-existing works, the license extends only to those modifications that can be separately identified and only to the extent that open licensing is permitted under the terms of any licenses or other legal restrictions on the use of pre-existing works. For additional information on the open licensing requirements please refer to [*2 CFR 3474.20(c)*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5NSJ-T7P0-008G-Y4Y9-00000-00&context=).

4. *Reporting:* (a) If you apply for a grant under this ***competition***, you must ensure that you have in place the necessary processes and systems to comply with the reporting requirements in 2 CFR part 170 should you receive funding under the ***competition***. This does not apply if you have an exception under [*2 CFR 170.110(b)*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5F2R-48P0-008G-Y31V-00000-00&context=).

(b) At the end of your project period, you must submit a final performance report, including financial information, as directed by the Secretary. If you receive a multiyear award, you must submit an annual performance report that provides the most current performance and financial expenditure information as directed by the Secretary under [*34 CFR 75.118*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5GMB-DPC0-008H-02S7-00000-00&context=). The Secretary may also require more frequent performance reports under [*34 CFR 75.720(c)*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5GMB-DPC0-008H-02SK-00000-00&context=). For specific requirements on reporting, please go to [*www.ed.gov/fund/grant/apply/appforms/appforms.html*](http://www.ed.gov/fund/grant/apply/appforms/appforms.html)*.*

(c) Under [*34 CFR 75.250(b)*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5GMB-DPB0-008H-0272-00000-00&context=), the Secretary may provide a grantee with additional funding for data collection analysis and reporting. In this case the Secretary establishes a data collection period.

5. *Performance Measures:* The overall purpose of the SEED program is to increase the number of highly effective educators by supporting Evidence-Based projects that prepare or provide Professional Development or enhancement activities for teachers, principals, or other School Leaders. We have established the following performance measures for the SEED program: (a) The percentage of teacher, principal, or other School Leader participants who serve concentrations of high-need students; (b) the percentage of teacher and principal participants who serve concentrations of high-need students and are highly effective; (c) the percentage of teacher and principal participants who serve concentrations of high-need students, are highly effective, and serve for at least two years; (d) the cost per such participant; and (e) the number of grantees with evaluations that meet the WWC standards with reservations. Grantees will report annually on each measure.

6. *Continuation Awards:* In making a continuation award under [*34 CFR 75.253*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5GMB-DPB0-008H-02K8-00000-00&context=), the Secretary considers, among other things: Whether a grantee has made substantial progress in achieving the goals and objectives of the project; whether the grantee has expended funds in a manner that is consistent with its approved application and budget; and, if the Secretary has established performance measurement requirements, the performance targets in the grantee's approved application.

In making a continuation award, the Secretary also considers whether the grantee is operating in compliance with the assurances in its approved application, including those applicable to Federal civil rights laws that prohibit discrimination in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance from the Department ([*34 CFR 100.4*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5KS0-F5W0-008H-023P-00000-00&context=), [*104.5*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5R5H-J1H0-008H-043K-00000-00&context=), [*106.4*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5KS0-F5W0-008H-024V-00000-00&context=), [*108.8*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5KS0-F600-008H-02PT-00000-00&context=), and [*110.23*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5KS0-F5W0-008H-025B-00000-00&context=)).

**VII. Other Information**

*Accessible Format:* Individuals with disabilities can obtain this document and a copy of the application package in an accessible format (*e.g.,* braille, large print, audiotape, or compact disc) on request to the program contact person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

*Electronic Access to This Document:* The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register** . You may access the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal ***Regulations*** via the Federal Digital System at: [*www.gpo.gov/fdsys*](http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys)*.* At this site you can view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site.

You may also access documents of the Department published in the **Federal Register** by using the article search feature at: [*www.federalregister.gov*](http://www.federalregister.gov)*.* Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.

Dated: March 16, 2018.

**Margo Anderson,**

*Acting Assistant Deputy Secretary for Innovation and Improvement.*

[FR Doc. 2018-05750 Filed 3-20-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

**Dates**

**DATES:**

*Applications Available:* March 21, 2018.

*Date of Informational Webinar:* The SEED program intends to hold a webinar designed to provide technical assistance to interested applicants. Detailed information regarding this webinar will be provided on the SEED web page at [*http://innovation.ed.gov/what-we-do/teacher-quality/supporting-effective-educator-development-grant-program/*](http://innovation.ed.gov/what-we-do/teacher-quality/supporting-effective-educator-development-grant-program/)*.*

*Deadline for Notice of Intent to Apply:* April 5, 2018.

*Deadline for Transmittal of Applications:* May 17, 2018.

*Deadline for Intergovernmental Review:* July 19, 2018.

**Contacts**

**ADDRESSES:** For the addresses for obtaining and submitting an application, please refer to our Common Instructions for Applicants to Department of Education Discretionary Grant Programs, published in the **Federal Register** on February 12, 2018 [*(83 FR 6003)*](https://advance.lexis.com/api/document?collection=administrative-codes&id=urn:contentItem:5RMH-YGH0-006W-84BR-00000-00&context=) and available at [*www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2018-02-12/pdf/2018-02558.pdf*](http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2018-02-12/pdf/2018-02558.pdf)*.*

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Richard Wilson, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW, Room 4W111, Washington, DC 20202-5960. Telephone: (202) 453-6709 or by email: [*SEED@ed.gov*](mailto:SEED@ed.gov)*.*

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) or a text telephone (TTY), call the Federal Relay Service (FRS), toll free, at 1-800-877-8339.

FEDERAL REGISTER
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